

Docket Number: 3929

NATHAN CONTRACTING, INC.,  
A Pennsylvania Corporation as General Partner,  
t/d/b/a NATHAN CONTRACTING, L.P., A  
Pennsylvania Limited Partnership

Wayne W. Ringeisen, Esquire  
James M. Doerfler, Esquire

VS.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND  
NATURAL RESOURCES

~~Kimberly A. Hummel, Chief Counsel~~  
~~Virginia F. Davidson, Assistant Counsel~~

**January 2, 2008**

\*Plaintiff filed Claim, proof of mailing and filing fee. Amount of Claim: \$300.00+.

---

**January 3, 2008**

Board issued Acknowledgment letter and forwarded a copy of the Claim to Attorney General.

---

**January 11, 2008**

Attorney General filed acknowledgement of Claim dated January 3, 2008. Receipt of same acknowledged on January 8, 2008.

---

**February 1, 2008**

Defendant filed letter advising that the parties have agreed to a 20 day extension of time for Defendant to file its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.

---

**February 7, 2008**

Board issued letter to Defendant with copy to Plaintiff granting extension of time for Defendant to file its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint.

---

**February 19, 2008**

Defendant filed Answer and New Matter.

---

**February 20, 2008**

Board forwarded letter to Plaintiff requesting response to New Matter.

---

**March 11, 2008**

Plaintiff filed Reply to New Matter.

---

**March 13, 2008**

Board forwarded letter to parties directing parties to commence with discovery.

---

**July 7, 2008**

Defendant filed Motion to Compel - Co-operation with Discovery Requests.

---

**July 8, 2008**

Defendant transmitted letter via fax advising that Plaintiff has responded to its Motion to Compel, therefore the Motion should be withdrawn.

---

**July 10, 2008**

Defendant filed letter via U.S. mail advising that Plaintiff has responded to its Motion to Compel, therefore the Motion should be withdrawn.

---

**August 14, 2008**

Defendant filed Settlement Agreement (partial) executed by parties.

---

**August 20, 2008**

Defendant filed letter advising that parties are attempting to resolve discovery differences.

---

**April 27, 2009**

\*Plaintiff filed Amended Claim (Motion to Amend) and proof of mailing. Amount of Claim: Unchanged.

---

**April 28, 2009**

Board forwarded letter to Defendant requesting response to Plaintiff's Amended Claim (Motion to Amend).

---

**June 17, 2009**

Plaintiff filed letter advising that the parties have reached an amicable settlement in the above-referenced matter. Plaintiff will file a Praecipe to Discontinue by the end of June.

---

**July 20, 2009**

Plaintiff filed Praecipe to Settle and Discontinue.

---

**July 22, 2009**

Board issued an Order. Order: **AND NOW**, this 22nd day of July, 2009, upon receipt of a Praecipe executed by James M. Doerfler, Esquire, on behalf of Plaintiff, Nathan Contracting, Inc., A Pennsylvania Corporation, as General Partner, t/d/b/a Nathan Contracting, L.P., a Pennsylvania Limited Partnership, requesting that the Board mark the above-captioned matter settled and discontinued, docketed with this Board under date of July 20, 2009, it is **ORDERED** and **DIRECTED** that the above-captioned matter be marked settled, discontinued and ended with prejudice. Copies forwarded to Plaintiff and Defendant.

---

**July 27, 2009**

Defendant filed an Acceptance of Service of Order dated July 22, 2009. Receipt of same acknowledged July 24, 2009.

---

**July 30, 2009**

Plaintiff filed Acceptance of Service of Order dated July 22, 2009. Receipt of same acknowledged July 24, 2009.