

Docket Number: 3290

SEUBERT & ASSOCIATES, INC.

Peter H. Thomson, Esquire

VS.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

~~George A. Michak, Chief Counsel~~
John M. Whitlock, Esquire

CLOSED

October 10, 2000

Claim and filing fee filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Amount of Claim: \$15,941.00.

October 13, 2000

Copy of Claim forwarded to attorney for Defendant and Chief Deputy Attorney General. ANSWER DUE FROM DEFENDANT 11/13/00.

November 13, 2000

Answer to Complaint and New Matter filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant. Response due from Plaintiff December 13, 2000.

June 20, 2001

Letter forwarded to parties requesting status. Response due from parties July 20, 2001.

July 27, 2001

Status letter received from attorney for Plaintiff advising that no response has been received to Defendant's New Matter filed on November 13, 2000 and documents have not been received from Plaintiff per Defendant's request and therefore, Defendant can not, at this time, offer any payment to resolve Plaintiff's Claim.

March 8, 2002

Letter forwarded to parties requesting status. Response due from parties April 8, 2002.

April 11, 2002

Status letter received from attorney for Plaintiff advising that the parties are still in the discovery phase in an attempt to negotiate a settlement.

October 17, 2002

Letter forwarded to parties requesting status. Response due from parties November 17, 2002.

December 3, 2002

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: **AAND NOW**, this 3rd day of December, 2002, a Rule to Show Cause is issued upon Plaintiff, Seubert & Associates, Inc., wherein it is **DIRECTED** that Plaintiff advise the Board, within thirty (30) days from the exit date of this Order, as to whether or not Plaintiff wishes to pursue the above-captioned matter. In the event the Board does not receive a response to this Rule, said Rule to Show Cause shall become absolute and the case shall be marked ~~closed~~, discontinued and ended with prejudice-@

January 6, 2003

Status letter received from attorney for Plaintiff advising that Plaintiff intends to pursue this case and has been negotiating with Defendant.

August 5, 2003

Board forwarded letter to parties requesting status.

October 1, 2003

Board rendered Rule to Show Cause. Order as follows: **AAND NOW**, this 1st day of September, 2003, a Rule to Show Cause is issued upon Plaintiff, Seubert & Associates, Inc., wherein it is **DIRECTED** that Plaintiff advise the Board, within thirty (30) days from the exit date of this Order, as to whether or not Plaintiff wishes to pursue the above-captioned matter and propose trial dates for same. In the event the Board does not receive a response to this Rule, said Rule to Show Cause shall become absolute, and the case shall be marked "closed, discontinued and ended with prejudice".@ Copy forwarded to Plaintiff and Defendant.

October 6, 2003

Defendant filed Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated October 1, 2003. Receipt of same acknowledged October 3, 2003.

April 12, 2004

Board rendered Opinion and Order. Order as follows: **AAND NOW**, this 12th day of April, 2004, this Order is issued as a result of the failure of Plaintiff, Seubert & Associates, Inc., to file with the Board of Claims, within thirty (30) days from October 1, 2003, a response to the Rule to Show Cause advising why this Claim should not be dismissed for failure to abide by the instructions of the Board of Claims. Therefore, it is **DIRECTED** that the Rule of October 1, 2003, be made **ABSOLUTE** and the record be marked xclosed and discontinued with prejudice=.@
Copy forwarded to Plaintiff and Defendant.

April 19, 2004

Defendant filed Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated April 12, 2004. Receipt of same acknowledged April 14, 2004.