Docket Number: 2267

*ON-POINT TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS, INC.

LOTTERY ENTERPRISES, INC.

**David R. Overstreet, Esquire
*Robert W. Hayes, Esquire

VS.

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA,

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

AND

DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Gregory C. Santoro, Chief Counsel Michael J. Semes, Chief Counsel Peter M. Good, Assistant Counsel

CLOSED

September 13, 1996

Claim and filing fee filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Amount of Claim: \$4,000,000.00. *\$21,000,000.00)

September 27, 1996

Copy of Claim forwarded to attorney for Defendant and Chief Deputy Attorney General. ANSWER DUE FROM DEFENDANT OCTOBER 28, 1996.

OCTOBER 3, 1996

Acceptance of Service of Claim received from Chief Deputy Attorney General. Receipt of same acknowledged by Chief Deputy Attorney General October 1, 1996.

October 4, 1996

Acceptance of Service of Claim received from attorney for Defendant (Department of General Services). Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant (Department of General Services) October 2, 1996.

October 23, 1996

Preliminary Objection to Plaintiff=s Claim and Brief in Support thereof filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant. Response and Brief due from Plaintiff 12/4/96.

November 7, 1996

Stipulation Regarding Continuance executed and filed by parties.

*January 16, 1997

Amended Claim filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff. Response due from Defendant March 3, 1997.

February 6, 1996

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: AAND NOW, this 6th day of February, 1997, it is ORDERED and DECREED that the Preliminary Objections filed by the Defendants, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Revenue and Department of General Services are DISMISSED as having been rendered moot by the filing of the Amended Claim. It is further ORDERED and DECREED that the case hereafter be known as On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. and that the amended amount of

the Claim shall be Twenty-One Million Dollars (\$21,000,000.00). Defendant is directed to file their response to Plaintiffs Amended Claim within thirty (30) days from receipt of same. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendants.

March 6, 1997

Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff=s Amended Claim filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

April 1, 1997

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated February 5, 1997 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant February 10, 1997.

April 1, 1997

Acceptance of Service of Amended Claim dated February 5, 1997 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant February 10, 1997.

April 9,1997

Stipulation as to Schedule for Filings with Respect to Defendants Preliminary Objections to Plaintiffs Amended Statement of Claim filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

May 12, 1997

Letter forwarded to parties requesting status of case. Response due from parties June 12, 1997.

May 23, 1997

Defendants= Brief in Support of Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff=s Amended Complaint filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

June 13, 1997

Plaintiff=s Response and Brief to Defendant=s Preliminary Objections to Plaintiff=s Amended Complaint filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

December 23, 1997

Withdrawal of Appearance of Raymond Pepe, Esquire and David R. Over street, Esquire, filed on behalf of Plaintiff and Entry of Appearance of Stephen Cozen, Esquire, Robert W. Hayes, Esquire and Sarah E. Davies, Esquire filed on behalf of Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

February 26, 1998

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: AAND NOW, this 26th day of February, 1998, it is ORDERED and DIRECTED that the Preliminary Objections filed by the Defendants=, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Revenue and Department of General Services, are hereby DENIED. Defendant is given thirty (30) days in which to file their response to Plaintiff=s Amended Claim.@ Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

March 9, 1998

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated February 26, 1998 received from attorney for Plaintiff. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Plaintiff March 5, 1998.

March 30, 1998

Letter forwarded to parties requesting status. Response due from parties April 30, 1998.

March 27, 1998

Defendants Answer and New Matter filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

April 2, 1998

Letter forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff requesting response to Defendant=s New Matter. Response due May 2, 1998.

May 1, 1998

Plaintiff=s Answer to Defendant=s New Matter filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

May 5, 1998

Letter forwarded to parties directing parties to commence with discovery.

May 5, 1998

Status letter received from attorney for Plaintiff advising that the parties are in discovery. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

May 13, 1998

Praecipe to Substitute Verification of counsel filed with the Answer to New Matter of Plaintiff filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

May 27, 1998

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated February 26, 1998 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant March 3, 1998.

July 1, 1998

Certificate of Service of Defendants= Answers to Interrogatories, Response to Request for Production of Documents and Response to Requests for Admissions filed by attorney for Defendant.

September 28, 1998

Petition of Plaintiff for Admission Pro Hac Vice of Miles D. Scully and Kevin W. Alexander and Proposed Order filed by attorney for Plaintiff.

September 30, 1998

The Board rendered the following Order: AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 1998, upon consideration of the Petition of Plaintiff On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. For Admission Pro Hac Vice of Miles D. Scully and Kevin W. Alexander, and any response thereto, it is hereby ORDERED that the Petition is GRANTED. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

October 5, 1998

Acceptance of Service of Order dated September 30, 1998 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant October 1, 1998.

October 9, 1998

Motion of Plaintiff On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. to Compel Discovery Responses filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

October 14, 1998

Letter forwarded to attorney for Defendant requesting response to Plaintiff=s Motion to Compel Discovery Responses. Response due November 16, 1998.

October 26, 1998

Acceptance of Service of Order dated September 30, 1998 received from attorney for Plaintiff. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Plaintiff October 12, 1998.

November 6, 1998

Defendants Answer to Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Discovery Responses and Memorandum of Law in Support filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

January 29, 1999

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: AAND NOW, this 28th day of January, 1999, it is ORDERED and DECREED that Plaintiff=s, On-Point Technology Systems, Inc., Motion to Compel Responses is GRANTED and that Defendants, Department of Revenue and Department of General Services, are required to more fully and completely answer Interrogatories No. 1, 9 and 10. It is further ORDERED and DECREED that the objection to Interrogatory No. 5 is DISMISSED.@ Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

February 5, 1999

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated January 28, 1999 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant February 1, 1999.

February 10, 1999

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated January 28, 1999 received from attorney for Plaintiff. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Plaintiff February 1, 1999.

March 2, 1999

Letter/Certificate of Service of Defendant=s Supplemental Answers to Plaintiff=s First Set of Interrogatories.

March 19, 1999

Notice of Service for the Notice of Deposition of Arthur Florio and Charles Kline filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

August 6, 1999

Defendants Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and Brief in Support filed by attorney for Defendant. Response due from Plaintiff September 10, 1999.

August 18, 1999

Letter received from attorney for Plaintiff requesting an extension of time until October 7, 1999 in which to response to Defendants Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment.

August 24, 1999

Letter forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff granting Plaintiffs request for an extension of time until October 7, 1999 in which to response to Defendants Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment.

October 4, 1999

Letter received from attorney for Plaintiff an extension of time until October 28, 1999 in which to respond to Defendants Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Pleadings.

October 6, 1999

Letter forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff granting Plaintiff=s request for an extension of time until October 28, 1999 in which to respond to Defendant=s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on the Pleadings. Response due October 28, 1999.

October 28, 1999

Opposition of Plaintiff on-Point Technology to Defendants Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment as well as Brief in Support filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

November 8, 1999

Praecipe to Substitute Declaration Signature Page filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

November 23, 1999

Supplemental Submission in Support of the Opposition of Plaintiff On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. to Defendents= Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

June 5, 2000

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: "AND NOW, this 5th day of May, 2000, it is ORDERED and DECREED that after review of the Defendants', Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Revenue and Department of General Services, Motion for Summary Judgment on the Pleadings or in the Alternative Motion for Summary Judgment and On-Point's responses thereto, it is hereby ORDERED and DECREED that said Motion is DENIED. The parties herein are directed to contact Senior Counsel to the Board of Claims to schedule the above-referenced matter for hearing." Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

June 9, 2000

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated June 5, 2000 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant June 6, 2000.

June 12, 2000

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated June 5, 2000 received from attorney for Plaintiff. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Plaintiff June 8, 2000.

July 25, 2000

The Board rendered the following Order: AAND NOW, this 25th day of July, 2000, it is ORDERED and DECREED that this matter is set for a hearing before the Board beginning on April 9-13, 2001, as well as, April 16-20, 2001, if necessary. Said hearing shall be held in Courtroom No. 1, 6th Floor, Fulton Building, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, commencing at 9:30 am. It is further ORDERED and DECREED that all discovery be completed no later than forty-five (45) days prior to the commencement of the trial.@ Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

July 27, 2000

Acceptance of Service of Order dated July 25, 2000 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant July 27, 2000.

July 31, 2000

Acceptance of Service of Order dated July 25, 2000 received from attorney for Plaintiff. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Plaintiff July 28, 2000.

July 25, 2000

Acceptance of Service of Order dated July 25, 2000 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant July 27, 2000.

March 8, 2001

Plaintiff, On-Point Technologies, Inc.'s Pre-Trial Statement filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

March 9, 2001

Letter received via fax advising that Plaintiff feels prior to the hearing in the matter a settlement conference may help the parties to settle this case.

March 15, 2001

Settlement conference set for March 22, 2001 @ 1:00 p.m. in Judge Clipper=s Chambers.

March 16, 2001

Defendant's Pre-Trial Statement filed.

March 16, 2001

Defendants', Department of General Services and Department of Revenue Motion for Servence as well as supporting Brief filed by attorney for Defendant.

April 6, $2\overline{001}$

Stipulation of Facts not in Dispute as well as Plaintiff=Supplemental Identification of Witnesses and Summary of Testimony filed by attorney for Plaintiff.

April 9, 2001

Hearing held in Board's Courtroom No. 1, 200 North Third Street,

Suite 600, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania commencing at 9:30 a.m.

April 10, 2001

Hearing held in Board's Courtroom No. 1, 200 North Third Street, Suite 600, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania commencing at 9:30 a.m.

April 11, 2001

Hearing held in Board's Courtroom No. 1, 200 North Third Street, Suite 600, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania commencing at 9:30 a.m.

April 12, 2001

Hearing held in Board's Courtroom No. 1, 200 North Third Street, Suite 600, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania commencing at 9:30 a.m. Hearing continued until April 17, 2001 @ 10:00 am.

April 17, 2001

Hearing held in Board's Courtroom No. 1, 200 North Third Street, Suite 600, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania commencing at 11:00 am. Case Completed.

May 2, 2001

Testimony of hearing held April 9 & 10, 2001 filed. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant.

May 11, 2001

Testimony for hearing held April 11, 2001 filed.

May 14, 2001

Testimony for hearing held April 11, 2001 forwarded to attorney for Defendant.

May 17, 2001

Testimony for hearing held April 12 & 17, 2001 filed.

May 18, 2001

Testimony for hearing held April 12 & 17, 2001 forwarded to attorney for Defendant. Plaintiff=s Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Brief due on June 18, 2001, Defendant=s Findings of Fact, Conclusion of Law and Brief due on July 18, 2001.

May 22, 2001

Acceptance of Service of testimony received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for

May 24, 2001

Acceptance of Service of testimony received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant May 22, 2001.

June 18, 2001

Plaintiff=s Proposed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Brief filed. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff. Defendant=s due July 18, 2001.

July 17, 2001

Defendant=s Proposed Findings of Facts, Conclusions of Law and Brief filed. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

August 17, 2001

Reply of Plaintiff, On-Point Technology Systems, Inc., in Support of Plaintiff=s Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed by attorney for Plaintiff.

March 21, 2002

The Board rendered the following Opinion and Order: AAND NOW, this day of March, 2002, an award is hereby entered in favor of the Plaintiff, On-Point Technology Systems, Inc. and against the Defendants, Commonwealth of Pennsyvlania, Department of Revenue and Department of General Services as follows: a. payment withheld on the 1993 contract. \$21,645.00 b. penalty assessment under the 1993 contract. \$37,695.00 c. Repair and service upon expired warranty items. \$70,202.00 d. Loss of profits, 1995 contract upon sales. \$1,851,000.00 e. Loss of profits, 1995 contract upon annual service. \$1,615,000.00 f. Reliance damages for advance money spent. \$932,507.52 TOTAL PRINCIPAL DAMAGE \$4,528,049.52 Further, it is hereby ORDERED that interest is awarded upon the award herein at six percent (6%) per annum as follows: a. On \$21,645.00 from April 1, 1996, the payment due date. b. On \$37,695.00 from April 1, 1996, the payment due date. c. On \$70,202.00 from March 15, 1997, the date of the last shipment date. d. On \$1,851,000.00 from December 31, 1996, the estimated completion delivery date. e. \$1,615,000.00 upon annual due payments as follows: (1)

\$323,000.00 commencing December 31, 1996. (2)\$323,000.00 commencing December 31, 1997. 3)\$323,000.00 commencing December 31, 1998. (4)\$323,000.00 commencing December 31, 1999. (5)\$323,000.00 commencing December 31, 2000. f. On \$932,507.52 from July 25, 1996, the date of the Commonwealths breach.@ Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff, attorney for Defendant and Chief Deputy Attorney General.

March 25, 2002

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated March 21, 2002 received from attorney for Defendant. Receipt of same acknowledged by attorney for Defendant March 21, 2002.

March 28, 2002

Acceptance of Service of Opinion and Order dated March 21, 2002 received from Chief Deputy Attorney General. Receipt of same acknowledged by Chief Deputy Attorney General March 26, 2002.

April 11, 2002

Petition for Review received from Commonwealth Court. (No. 885 $\text{C.D.}\ 2002)$

May 1, 2002

File transmitted to Commonwealth Court. (No. 885 C.D. 2002)

March 17, 2003

Commonwealth Court issued Opinion and Order. Order as follows: **NOW**, March 17, 2003, the order of the Board of Claims in the above captioned matter is affirmed as to the damages awarded pursuant to the 1993 contract, but reversed as to all additional damages.

November 20, 2003

Case transferred to Supreme Court. [264 MAP 2003].

March 30, 2005

Supreme Court rendered an Opinion and Order. Order as follows:

 ${\bf AND}~{\bf NOW},$ this ${\bf 30}^{\rm th}~{\bf day}~{\bf of}~{\bf March},~2005$ the Order of the Commonwealth Court is hereby ${\bf AFFIRMED}.$

May 19, 2005

File returned from Commonwealth Court.