

Docket Number: 937

THE FAIRFIELD COMPANY

Robert E. Heideck, Esquire

VS.

CLOSED

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Thomas M. Devlin, Esquire

June 6, 1984

Complaint and filing fee filed. Amount of Claim: \$291,483.01+.

June 11, 1984

Copies of Complaint forwarded to attorney for Defendant and Deputy Attorney General.

July 11, 1984

Preliminary Objections filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

August 2, 1984

Claimant's First Request for Production of Documents Directed to Respondent filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

August 13, 1984

Brief in Support of Respondent's Preliminary Objections filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

August 31, 1984

Motion for Protective Order and two Proposed Orders filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

September 4, 1984

Brief in Opposition to Preliminary Objections filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

September 20, 1984

Answer to Motion for a Protective Order filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

September 20, 1984

Proposed Order and Brief in Opposition to Motion for a Protective Order filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copies forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

October 26, 1984

The Board rendered an Opinion and made the following Order: "**AND NOW**, this 26th day of October, 1984, upon due consideration of the Preliminary Objections as filed by the Defendant, the request of the Defendant is **DENIED** and is given thirty (30) days from the date of this Order in which to file Responsive Pleadings." Copies forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

November 8, 1984

The Board made the following Amended Opinion: "This Board rendered on the 26th day of October, 1984, its Opinion relative to the Preliminary Objections heretofore filed. It is noted that the Board erred on page 2 when it stated: 'On August 13, 1984, in excess of the normal 30 days allowed to file responsive pleadings, the Defendant filed Preliminary Objections in the nature of a Motion to Strike, a Motion for Specific Complaints, a Petition Raising the Question of Jurisdiction and a Memorandum to the Claim.' The Record in this Opinion is therefore amended to read that the Brief in Support of the same was filed on August 13, 1984. The Preliminary Objections were filed within the 30 day period allowed to file responsive pleadings." Dated: November 8, 1984. Copies of Amended Opinion and forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant. Copies distributed to Board members.

November 26, 1984

Answer to Statement of Claim and New Matter filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

December 5, 1984

The Board made the following Order: "**AND NOW**, this 5th day of December, 1984, it appearing that Respondent's Motion for Protective Order became moot upon the issuance of the Board's Order dismissing Respondent's Preliminary Objections, the Motion is hereby **DENIED**, and Respondent is directed to respond to the Interrogatories filed with Twenty (20) days from the date hereof. Specific objections to any Interrogatory may be filed by Respondent in accordance with the Rules of Civil Procedure." Copies forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.

December 14, 1984

Reply to New Matter filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

March 14, 1985

Motion to Compel Production of Documents and for the Imposition of Sanctions for Failure to Comply with the Board's Discovery Order and Proposed Order filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

April 12, 1985

Respondent's Answer to Claimant's Motion to Compel Production of Documents and for the Imposition of Sanctions filed by attorney for Defendant. Copy forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff by attorney for Defendant.

April 22, 1985

Reply of Claimant The Farfield Company in Support of Motion to Compel Production of Documents and For Imposition of Sanctions filed by attorney for Plaintiff. Copy forwarded to attorney for Defendant by attorney for Plaintiff.

Praecipe filed by both attorneys.

September 6, 1985

Corrected Praecipe filed by both attorneys.

September 10, 1985

The Board made the following Order: "**AND NOW**, this 10th day of September, 1985 upon receipt of a filing entitled Praecipe, said filing being executed by Thomas M. Devlin, Esquire, on behalf of the Respondent, Department of General Services and Robert E. Heideck, Esquire, on behalf of the Claimant, The Farfield Company and being docketed with this Board under date of September 6, 1985, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **DIRECTED** that said case be and hereby is marked "settled, discontinued and ended with prejudice." Copies forwarded to attorney for Plaintiff and attorney for Defendant.
